

Congress of the United States
Washington, DC 20515

1

809

May 24, 2010

The Honorable Julius Genachowski
Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Dear Chairman Genachowski:

We are writing to reinforce the strong bipartisan consensus among policymakers, industry participants, and analysts that the success of the broadband marketplace stems from policies that encourage competition, private investment, and legal certainty. The regulatory framework first adopted in 1998 by the Clinton Administration's FCC has resulted in broadband industry infrastructure investment of approximately \$60 billion per year. In the last decade, multiple providers and the hundreds of thousands of workers they employ have brought high speed connections to 95 percent of U.S. households where two-thirds of Americans now access the Internet through broadband at home.

Still, much work remains to be done. According to the National Broadband Plan, 14 million Americans lack broadband access altogether, many underserved areas need more robust broadband facilities, and both wired and wireless broadband services require increasing speeds. As the Plan notes, that work will require as much as \$350 billion in additional private investment. Generating those enormous sums from industry, and the good-paying jobs they produce, will require a continued commitment to the stable regulatory environment that has existed for the last dozen years.

Because of this, we have serious concerns about the proposed new regulatory framework for broadband and the Internet. The expanded FCC jurisdiction over broadband that has been proposed and the manner in which it would be implemented are unprecedented and create regulatory uncertainty. The controversy surrounding that approach will likely serve as a distraction from what should be our Nation's foremost communications priority: bringing broadband to every corner of America, getting every American online, and providing the high speed connections needed to realize the promises of telemedicine, distance learning, and other forms of consumer empowerment.

The continued deployment and adoption of broadband, the growing importance of the Internet to our constituents, and the significant contributions this will make to our economy should be the FCC's primary focus right now. The uncertainty this proposal creates will jeopardize jobs and deter needed investment for years to come. The significant regulatory impact of reclassifying broadband service is not something that should be taken lightly and should not be done without additional direction from Congress. We urge you not to move

forward with a proposal that undermines critically important investment in broadband and the jobs that come with it.

Thank you for your attention to this letter, and we look forward to working with you in a constructive way to address these matters.

Sincerely,

Sam Rame

Scott Murphy

Suzanne M. Kanner

Tommy Stone

Patricia E. R. Rame

Charles R. Zary

Allyn Y. Schwartz

Mike Rame

John Rame

Gene Taylor

Michael R. Lin

Chris W. Rame

Baron P. Hill

W. Rame

Harry Rame

Jason Rame

Carl Rame

Rob Rame

Tracy J
Kathy Salinger

Annika Jones

Chloe P. Carg

Yvette D. Clarke
Lois J. Jandke

Allen Bayl

Ann J. J. J.
Bobby Bright

Guss Camahan

Dan Puff

John
The 1st
G.K. Butterfield Jr.

Herb
Reichs

Ray C. Pelt

W. C. J.

John A.
Jim W.

Terris W. Childers

Walt W. Pitt

Amiel Talverson

Kurt Schaefer

Frank E. Boney

John P. Galt

Walt Allen

Harry Teague

A. K. Hilgord

Morris L. Dodge

Bruce Bickel

Devin Mee

G. Giffards

Joe Brown

Elyse E. Cummings

E. Brown

Gregory L. Minto

Alfred L. Hastings

Carl D. Rodney

Charles Fawcett

Phil Kahall

Joseph W. W. W.

Rubin Ferguson

W. J. Foster

C. A. Dutch Rappenburg

Walter R. R.

Bennie A. Thompson

Wm. Lacy Clay

Al. J. [unclear]

Eddie Benjamin

Bill O'Leary

Amos D. B. [unclear]

T. H. [unclear]

Al. J. [unclear]

Al. J. [unclear]

Carine Brown

Rep. Gregory Meeks
Rep. Loretta Sanchez
Rep. Michael McMahon
Rep. Eddie Bernice Johnson
Rep. Alcee Hastings
Rep. Tim Holden
Rep. Joe Baca
Rep. William Lacy Clay
Rep. Charles Gonzalez
Rep. Bennie Thompson
Rep. Yvette Clarke
Rep. G.K. Butterfield
Rep. Albio Sires
Rep. Leonard Boswell
Rep. John Adler
Rep. Heath Shuler
Rep. Bobby Rush
Rep. Allen Boyd
Rep. Lincoln Davis
Rep. John Barrow
Rep. Dan Boren
Rep. Solomon Ortiz
Rep. Bill Owens
Rep. Henry Cuellar
Rep. Michael Arcuri
Rep. Charlie Wilson
Rep. Kathy Dahlkemper
Rep. Charlie Melancon
Rep. Walt Minnick
Rep. David Scott
Rep. Ed Pastor
Rep. Mike Ross
Rep. Travis Childers
Rep. Marcia Fudge
Rep. Joe Crowley
Rep. Robert Brady
Rep. Bobby Bright
Rep. Nick Rahall
Rep. Jason Altmire
Rep. Dan Maffei
Rep. Allyson Schwartz
Rep. Glenn Nye
Rep. Ed Towns
Rep. Debbie Halvorson
Rep. Scott Murphy

Rep. Suzanne Kosmas
Rep. Ciro Rodriguez
Rep. Frank Kratovil
Rep. Baron Hill
Rep. Russ Carnahan
Rep. Kurt Schrader
Rep. Ruben Hinojosa
Rep. Al Green
Rep. Zach Space
Rep. Laura Richardson
Rep. Steve Driehaus
Rep. Gary Peters
Rep. Dutch Ruppersberger
Rep. Christopher Carney
Rep. Dennis Cardoza
Rep. Chaka Fattah
Rep. Tim Bishop
Rep. Sanford Bishop
Rep. John Tanner
Rep. Jim Costa
Rep. Ann Kirkpatrick
Rep. Gabrielle Giffords
Rep. Gene Taylor
Rep. Dennis Moore
Rep. Elijah Cummings
Rep. Rick Larsen
Rep. Harry Teague
Rep. Corrine Brown



OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

December 15, 2010

The Honorable Bennie G. Thompson
U.S. House of Representatives
2432 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Thompson:

Earlier this year, you expressed "serious concerns" about the impact that reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service might have on private investment and jobs. I very much appreciate hearing your views on this issue and I strongly share your commitment to bringing better broadband to all Americans. As you may have seen earlier this month, I circulated a proposal for high-level rules of the road to preserve the open Internet that has served so well as a platform for economic growth, innovation, and free expression. That proposal is designed to foster precisely the kind of continued private investment you emphasized and it does not call for the reclassification of broadband. Instead, the proposal relies on the existing legal framework that you commended in your letter.

I look forward to a continuing dialogue about how best to improve our 21st Century communications networks.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "J. Genachowski", is written over the printed name.

Julius Genachowski



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

December 15, 2010

The Honorable Edolphus Towns
U.S. House of Representatives
2232 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Towns:

Earlier this year, you expressed "serious concerns" about the impact that reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service might have on private investment and jobs. I very much appreciate hearing your views on this issue and I strongly share your commitment to bringing better broadband to all Americans. As you may have seen earlier this month, I circulated a proposal for high-level rules of the road to preserve the open Internet that has served so well as a platform for economic growth, innovation, and free expression. That proposal is designed to foster precisely the kind of continued private investment you emphasized and it does not call for the reclassification of broadband. Instead, the proposal relies on the existing legal framework that you commended in your letter.

I look forward to a continuing dialogue about how best to improve our 21st Century communications networks.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to be "JG", is written over the printed name Julius Genachowski.

Julius Genachowski



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

December 15, 2010

The Honorable Charlie Wilson
U.S. House of Representatives
226 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Wilson:

Earlier this year, you expressed "serious concerns" about the impact that reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service might have on private investment and jobs. I very much appreciate hearing your views on this issue and I strongly share your commitment to bringing better broadband to all Americans. As you may have seen earlier this month, I circulated a proposal for high-level rules of the road to preserve the open Internet that has served so well as a platform for economic growth, innovation, and free expression. That proposal is designed to foster precisely the kind of continued private investment you emphasized and it does not call for the reclassification of broadband. Instead, the proposal relies on the existing legal framework that you commended in your letter.

I look forward to a continuing dialogue about how best to improve our 21st Century communications networks.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to be "J. Genachowski", is written over the typed name.

Julius Genachowski



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

December 15, 2010

The Honorable Al Green
U.S. House of Representatives
236 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Green:

Earlier this year, you expressed "serious concerns" about the impact that reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service might have on private investment and jobs. I very much appreciate hearing your views on this issue and I strongly share your commitment to bringing better broadband to all Americans. As you may have seen earlier this month, I circulated a proposal for high-level rules of the road to preserve the open Internet that has served so well as a platform for economic growth, innovation, and free expression. That proposal is designed to foster precisely the kind of continued private investment you emphasized and it does not call for the reclassification of broadband. Instead, the proposal relies on the existing legal framework that you commended in your letter.

I look forward to a continuing dialogue about how best to improve our 21st Century communications networks.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to be "J. Genachowski", is written over the printed name.

Julius Genachowski



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

December 15, 2010

The Honorable Gene Green
U.S. House of Representatives
2372 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Green:

Earlier this year, you expressed "serious concerns" about the impact that reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service might have on private investment and jobs. I very much appreciate hearing your views on this issue and I strongly share your commitment to bringing better broadband to all Americans. As you may have seen earlier this month, I circulated a proposal for high-level rules of the road to preserve the open Internet that has served so well as a platform for economic growth, innovation, and free expression. That proposal is designed to foster precisely the kind of continued private investment you emphasized and it does not call for the reclassification of broadband. Instead, the proposal relies on the existing legal framework that you commended in your letter.

I look forward to a continuing dialogue about how best to improve our 21st Century communications networks.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "J. Genachowski", is written over the typed name.

Julius Genachowski



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

December 15, 2010

The Honorable Debbie Halvorson
U.S. House of Representatives
1541 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Halvorson:

Earlier this year, you expressed "serious concerns" about the impact that reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service might have on private investment and jobs. I very much appreciate hearing your views on this issue and I strongly share your commitment to bringing better broadband to all Americans. As you may have seen earlier this month, I circulated a proposal for high-level rules of the road to preserve the open Internet that has served so well as a platform for economic growth, innovation, and free expression. That proposal is designed to foster precisely the kind of continued private investment you emphasized and it does not call for the reclassification of broadband. Instead, the proposal relies on the existing legal framework that you commended in your letter.

I look forward to a continuing dialogue about how best to improve our 21st Century communications networks.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, consisting of a stylized 'J' followed by a horizontal line and a small dot.

Julius Genachowski



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

December 15, 2010

The Honorable Alcee L. Hastings
U.S. House of Representatives
2353 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Hastings:

Earlier this year, you expressed "serious concerns" about the impact that reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service might have on private investment and jobs. I very much appreciate hearing your views on this issue and I strongly share your commitment to bringing better broadband to all Americans. As you may have seen earlier this month, I circulated a proposal for high-level rules of the road to preserve the open Internet that has served so well as a platform for economic growth, innovation, and free expression. That proposal is designed to foster precisely the kind of continued private investment you emphasized and it does not call for the reclassification of broadband. Instead, the proposal relies on the existing legal framework that you commended in your letter.

I look forward to a continuing dialogue about how best to improve our 21st Century communications networks.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to be "J. Genachowski", is written over the printed name.

Julius Genachowski



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

December 15, 2010

The Honorable Baron P. Hill
U.S. House of Representatives
223 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Hill:

Earlier this year, you expressed "serious concerns" about the impact that reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service might have on private investment and jobs. I very much appreciate hearing your views on this issue and I strongly share your commitment to bringing better broadband to all Americans. As you may have seen earlier this month, I circulated a proposal for high-level rules of the road to preserve the open Internet that has served so well as a platform for economic growth, innovation, and free expression. That proposal is designed to foster precisely the kind of continued private investment you emphasized and it does not call for the reclassification of broadband. Instead, the proposal relies on the existing legal framework that you commended in your letter.

I look forward to a continuing dialogue about how best to improve our 21st Century communications networks.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, consisting of a stylized 'J' followed by a horizontal line and a small dot at the end.

Julius Genachowski



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

December 15, 2010

The Honorable Rubén Hinojosa
U.S. House of Representatives
2463 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Hinojosa:

Earlier this year, you expressed "serious concerns" about the impact that reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service might have on private investment and jobs. I very much appreciate hearing your views on this issue and I strongly share your commitment to bringing better broadband to all Americans. As you may have seen earlier this month, I circulated a proposal for high-level rules of the road to preserve the open Internet that has served so well as a platform for economic growth, innovation, and free expression. That proposal is designed to foster precisely the kind of continued private investment you emphasized and it does not call for the reclassification of broadband. Instead, the proposal relies on the existing legal framework that you commended in your letter.

I look forward to a continuing dialogue about how best to improve our 21st Century communications networks.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to be "J. Genachowski", is written over the printed name.

Julius Genachowski



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

December 15, 2010

The Honorable Tim Holden
U.S. House of Representatives
2417 Rayburn House Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Holden:

Earlier this year, you expressed "serious concerns" about the impact that reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service might have on private investment and jobs. I very much appreciate hearing your views on this issue and I strongly share your commitment to bringing better broadband to all Americans. As you may have seen earlier this month, I circulated a proposal for high-level rules of the road to preserve the open Internet that has served so well as a platform for economic growth, innovation, and free expression. That proposal is designed to foster precisely the kind of continued private investment you emphasized and it does not call for the reclassification of broadband. Instead, the proposal relies on the existing legal framework that you commended in your letter.

I look forward to a continuing dialogue about how best to improve our 21st Century communications networks.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "J. Genachowski", is written over the typed name.

Julius Genachowski



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

December 15, 2010

The Honorable Eddie Bernice Johnson
U.S. House of Representatives
1511 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Johnson:

Earlier this year, you expressed "serious concerns" about the impact that reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service might have on private investment and jobs. I very much appreciate hearing your views on this issue and I strongly share your commitment to bringing better broadband to all Americans. As you may have seen earlier this month, I circulated a proposal for high-level rules of the road to preserve the open Internet that has served so well as a platform for economic growth, innovation, and free expression. That proposal is designed to foster precisely the kind of continued private investment you emphasized and it does not call for the reclassification of broadband. Instead, the proposal relies on the existing legal framework that you commended in your letter.

I look forward to a continuing dialogue about how best to improve our 21st Century communications networks.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "J. Genachowski", is written over the printed name.

Julius Genachowski



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

December 15, 2010

The Honorable Ann Kirkpatrick
U.S. House of Representatives
1123 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Kirkpatrick:

Earlier this year, you expressed "serious concerns" about the impact that reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service might have on private investment and jobs. I very much appreciate hearing your views on this issue and I strongly share your commitment to bringing better broadband to all Americans. As you may have seen earlier this month, I circulated a proposal for high-level rules of the road to preserve the open Internet that has served so well as a platform for economic growth, innovation, and free expression. That proposal is designed to foster precisely the kind of continued private investment you emphasized and it does not call for the reclassification of broadband. Instead, the proposal relies on the existing legal framework that you commended in your letter.

I look forward to a continuing dialogue about how best to improve our 21st Century communications networks.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "J. Genachowski", is written over the typed name.

Julius Genachowski



OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

December 15, 2010

The Honorable Suzanne Kosmas
U.S. House of Representatives
238 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Kosmas:

Earlier this year, you expressed "serious concerns" about the impact that reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service might have on private investment and jobs. I very much appreciate hearing your views on this issue and I strongly share your commitment to bringing better broadband to all Americans. As you may have seen earlier this month, I circulated a proposal for high-level rules of the road to preserve the open Internet that has served so well as a platform for economic growth, innovation, and free expression. That proposal is designed to foster precisely the kind of continued private investment you emphasized and it does not call for the reclassification of broadband. Instead, the proposal relies on the existing legal framework that you commended in your letter.

I look forward to a continuing dialogue about how best to improve our 21st Century communications networks.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "J. Genachowski", is written over the typed name.

Julius Genachowski



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

December 15, 2010

The Honorable Frank M. Kratovil, Jr.
U.S. House of Representatives
314 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Kratovil:

Earlier this year, you expressed "serious concerns" about the impact that reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service might have on private investment and jobs. I very much appreciate hearing your views on this issue and I strongly share your commitment to bringing better broadband to all Americans. As you may have seen earlier this month, I circulated a proposal for high-level rules of the road to preserve the open Internet that has served so well as a platform for economic growth, innovation, and free expression. That proposal is designed to foster precisely the kind of continued private investment you emphasized and it does not call for the reclassification of broadband. Instead, the proposal relies on the existing legal framework that you commended in your letter.

I look forward to a continuing dialogue about how best to improve our 21st Century communications networks.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "J. Genachowski", is written over the typed name. The signature is stylized with a large, sweeping initial "J".

Julius Genachowski



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

December 15, 2010

The Honorable Rick Larsen
U.S. House of Representatives
108 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Larsen:

Earlier this year, you expressed "serious concerns" about the impact that reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service might have on private investment and jobs. I very much appreciate hearing your views on this issue and I strongly share your commitment to bringing better broadband to all Americans. As you may have seen earlier this month, I circulated a proposal for high-level rules of the road to preserve the open Internet that has served so well as a platform for economic growth, innovation, and free expression. That proposal is designed to foster precisely the kind of continued private investment you emphasized and it does not call for the reclassification of broadband. Instead, the proposal relies on the existing legal framework that you commended in your letter.

I look forward to a continuing dialogue about how best to improve our 21st Century communications networks.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, consisting of a stylized 'J' followed by a horizontal line and a small dot.

Julius Genachowski



OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

December 15, 2010

The Honorable Dan Maffei
U.S. House of Representatives
1630 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Maffei:

Earlier this year, you expressed "serious concerns" about the impact that reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service might have on private investment and jobs. I very much appreciate hearing your views on this issue and I strongly share your commitment to bringing better broadband to all Americans. As you may have seen earlier this month, I circulated a proposal for high-level rules of the road to preserve the open Internet that has served so well as a platform for economic growth, innovation, and free expression. That proposal is designed to foster precisely the kind of continued private investment you emphasized and it does not call for the reclassification of broadband. Instead, the proposal relies on the existing legal framework that you commended in your letter.

I look forward to a continuing dialogue about how best to improve our 21st Century communications networks.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, consisting of a stylized 'J' followed by a horizontal line and a small dot at the end.

Julius Genachowski



OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

December 15, 2010

The Honorable Michael E. McMahon
U.S. House of Representatives
323 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman McMahon:

Earlier this year, you expressed "serious concerns" about the impact that reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service might have on private investment and jobs. I very much appreciate hearing your views on this issue and I strongly share your commitment to bringing better broadband to all Americans. As you may have seen earlier this month, I circulated a proposal for high-level rules of the road to preserve the open Internet that has served so well as a platform for economic growth, innovation, and free expression. That proposal is designed to foster precisely the kind of continued private investment you emphasized and it does not call for the reclassification of broadband. Instead, the proposal relies on the existing legal framework that you commended in your letter.

I look forward to a continuing dialogue about how best to improve our 21st Century communications networks.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "J. Genachowski", is written over the typed name.

Julius Genachowski



OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

December 15, 2010

The Honorable Gregory W. Meeks
U.S. House of Representatives
2342 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Meeks:

Earlier this year, you expressed "serious concerns" about the impact that reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service might have on private investment and jobs. I very much appreciate hearing your views on this issue and I strongly share your commitment to bringing better broadband to all Americans. As you may have seen earlier this month, I circulated a proposal for high-level rules of the road to preserve the open Internet that has served so well as a platform for economic growth, innovation, and free expression. That proposal is designed to foster precisely the kind of continued private investment you emphasized and it does not call for the reclassification of broadband. Instead, the proposal relies on the existing legal framework that you commended in your letter.

I look forward to a continuing dialogue about how best to improve our 21st Century communications networks.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "J. Genachowski", is written over the typed name. The signature is stylized with a large, sweeping initial "J" and a long horizontal stroke.

Julius Genachowski



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

December 15, 2010

The Honorable Charlie Melancon
U.S. House of Representatives
404 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Melancon:

Earlier this year, you expressed "serious concerns" about the impact that reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service might have on private investment and jobs. I very much appreciate hearing your views on this issue and I strongly share your commitment to bringing better broadband to all Americans. As you may have seen earlier this month, I circulated a proposal for high-level rules of the road to preserve the open Internet that has served so well as a platform for economic growth, innovation, and free expression. That proposal is designed to foster precisely the kind of continued private investment you emphasized and it does not call for the reclassification of broadband. Instead, the proposal relies on the existing legal framework that you commended in your letter.

I look forward to a continuing dialogue about how best to improve our 21st Century communications networks.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to be "J. Genachowski", is written over the printed name.

Julius Genachowski



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

December 15, 2010

The Honorable Walt Minnick
U.S. House of Representatives
1517 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Minnick:

Earlier this year, you expressed "serious concerns" about the impact that reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service might have on private investment and jobs. I very much appreciate hearing your views on this issue and I strongly share your commitment to bringing better broadband to all Americans. As you may have seen earlier this month, I circulated a proposal for high-level rules of the road to preserve the open Internet that has served so well as a platform for economic growth, innovation, and free expression. That proposal is designed to foster precisely the kind of continued private investment you emphasized and it does not call for the reclassification of broadband. Instead, the proposal relies on the existing legal framework that you commended in your letter.

I look forward to a continuing dialogue about how best to improve our 21st Century communications networks.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, consisting of a stylized 'J' followed by a horizontal line and a small dot.

Julius Genachowski



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

December 15, 2010

OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

The Honorable Dennis Moore
U.S. House of Representatives
1727 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Moore:

Earlier this year, you expressed "serious concerns" about the impact that reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service might have on private investment and jobs. I very much appreciate hearing your views on this issue and I strongly share your commitment to bringing better broadband to all Americans. As you may have seen earlier this month, I circulated a proposal for high-level rules of the road to preserve the open Internet that has served so well as a platform for economic growth, innovation, and free expression. That proposal is designed to foster precisely the kind of continued private investment you emphasized and it does not call for the reclassification of broadband. Instead, the proposal relies on the existing legal framework that you commended in your letter.

I look forward to a continuing dialogue about how best to improve our 21st Century communications networks.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "J. Genachowski", is written over the printed name. The signature is stylized with a large, sweeping "J" and a long horizontal stroke.

Julius Genachowski



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

December 15, 2010

The Honorable Jason Altmire
U.S. House of Representatives
332 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Altmire:

Earlier this year, you expressed "serious concerns" about the impact that reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service might have on private investment and jobs. I very much appreciate hearing your views on this issue and I strongly share your commitment to bringing better broadband to all Americans. As you may have seen earlier this month, I circulated a proposal for high-level rules of the road to preserve the open Internet that has served so well as a platform for economic growth, innovation, and free expression. That proposal is designed to foster precisely the kind of continued private investment you emphasized and it does not call for the reclassification of broadband. Instead, the proposal relies on the existing legal framework that you commended in your letter.

I look forward to a continuing dialogue about how best to improve our 21st Century communications networks.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to be "J. Genachowski", is written over the typed name. The signature is stylized with a large, sweeping initial "J" and a long horizontal stroke.

Julius Genachowski



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

December 15, 2010

The Honorable Mike Arcuri
U.S. House of Representatives
127 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Arcuri:

Earlier this year, you expressed "serious concerns" about the impact that reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service might have on private investment and jobs. I very much appreciate hearing your views on this issue and I strongly share your commitment to bringing better broadband to all Americans. As you may have seen earlier this month, I circulated a proposal for high-level rules of the road to preserve the open Internet that has served so well as a platform for economic growth, innovation, and free expression. That proposal is designed to foster precisely the kind of continued private investment you emphasized and it does not call for the reclassification of broadband. Instead, the proposal relies on the existing legal framework that you commended in your letter.

I look forward to a continuing dialogue about how best to improve our 21st Century communications networks.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "J. Genachowski", is written over the printed name. The signature is stylized with a large, sweeping "J" and a horizontal line extending to the right.

Julius Genachowski



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

December 15, 2010

The Honorable Joe Baca
U.S. House of Representatives
2245 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Baca:

Earlier this year, you expressed "serious concerns" about the impact that reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service might have on private investment and jobs. I very much appreciate hearing your views on this issue and I strongly share your commitment to bringing better broadband to all Americans. As you may have seen earlier this month, I circulated a proposal for high-level rules of the road to preserve the open Internet that has served so well as a platform for economic growth, innovation, and free expression. That proposal is designed to foster precisely the kind of continued private investment you emphasized and it does not call for the reclassification of broadband. Instead, the proposal relies on the existing legal framework that you commended in your letter.

I look forward to a continuing dialogue about how best to improve our 21st Century communications networks.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "J. Genachowski", is written over the typed name.

Julius Genachowski



FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON

OFFICE OF
THE CHAIRMAN

December 15, 2010

The Honorable John Barrow
U.S. House of Representatives
213 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Barrow:

Earlier this year, you expressed "serious concerns" about the impact that reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service might have on private investment and jobs. I very much appreciate hearing your views on this issue and I strongly share your commitment to bringing better broadband to all Americans. As you may have seen earlier this month, I circulated a proposal for high-level rules of the road to preserve the open Internet that has served so well as a platform for economic growth, innovation, and free expression. That proposal is designed to foster precisely the kind of continued private investment you emphasized and it does not call for the reclassification of broadband. Instead, the proposal relies on the existing legal framework that you commended in your letter.

I look forward to a continuing dialogue about how best to improve our 21st Century communications networks.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to be "J. Genachowski", is written over the printed name.

Julius Genachowski